

A spacetime realization of κ -Poincaré algebra

S. Mignemi[†]

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Cagliari
viale Merello 92, 09123 Cagliari, Italy
and INFN, Sezione di Cagliari

Abstract

We study a Hamiltonian realization of the phase space of κ -Poincaré algebra that yields a definition of velocity consistent with the deformed Lorentz symmetry. We are also able to determine the laws of transformation of spacetime coordinates and to define an invariant spacetime metric, and discuss some possible experimental consequences.

[†] e-mail: smignemi@unica.it

Doubly special relativity (DSR) is a class of models which aim to give an effective description of quantum gravity effects on particle kinematics at energies near the Planck scale, $\kappa \sim 10^{19}$ GeV, by postulating a nonlinear (deformed) action of the Lorentz group on momentum space [1]. In spite of the recent advances in the understanding of this proposal, some problems are still open, as for example what should be the realization of the theory in position space. Related to this is the problem of defining the velocity and the dynamics of a particle in a way consistent with the deformed Lorentz transformations.

In a recent paper [2], we have proposed a method for introducing a Hamiltonian structure for DSR models in such a way that the velocity of a particle, defined classically in terms of the proper-time derivatives of the coordinates as $\mathbf{v} = \dot{x}_i/\dot{x}_0$, coincides with the definition proposed in ref. [3], based on the observation that the velocity can be viewed as the parameter of the (deformed) boosts. The formalism of ref. [2] also induces in a natural way a realization of the deformed Lorentz symmetry in position space.

Our prescription worked well for the model of ref. [4], but led to inconsistencies in the case of the κ -Poincaré model of ref. [5]. In particular, the expression for the velocity derived in [2] did not transform in the correct way and consequently it was not possible to define an invariant line element. In [6] it was noticed that this problem can be solved in general by imposing further constraints on the symplectic structure.

In this note we wish to show how the results of [6] can be applied also to the case of the κ -Poincaré model. We refer to [2] and [6] for further motivations and technical details. For simplicity, we work in $1 + 1$ dimensions. We denote the position and the momentum of a particle as q_a and p_a , $a = 0, 1$.

The κ -Poincaré model [5] is defined by the following nonlinear transformation law for the momentum of a particle under a boost of parameter $\xi = \tanh v$:

$$p'_0 = p_0 + \kappa \log \Gamma, \quad p'_1 = \frac{p_1 \cosh \xi + \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(1 - e^{-2p_0/\kappa} + \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2} \right) \sinh \xi}{\Gamma}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + e^{-2p_0/\kappa} - \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - e^{-2p_0/\kappa} + \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2} \right) \cosh \xi + \frac{p_1}{\kappa} \sinh \xi. \quad (2)$$

In infinitesimal form the transformation law (1) reads

$$\delta p_0 = p_1, \quad \delta p_1 = \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(1 - e^{-2p_0/\kappa} - \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2} \right). \quad (3)$$

The Hamiltonian for a free particle can be identified with the Casimir invariant

$$H = \frac{m^2}{2} = \frac{e^{2p_0/\kappa}}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa^2}{4} \left(1 - e^{-2p_0/\kappa} + \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2} \right)^2 - p_1^2 \right]. \quad (4)$$

The physical mass M of the particle, i.e. its energy at rest, is related to m by $m = \kappa \sinh(M/\kappa)$.

The Hamiltonian is not uniquely defined. For example, in ref. [7] it was chosen as

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{\kappa}{2} e^{p_0/\kappa} \left(1 + e^{-2p_0/\kappa} - \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2} \right), \quad (5)$$

which is related to ours by $H = (\tilde{H}^2 - \kappa^2)/2$.

In DSR models, the momentum p_a can be related by a nonlinear transformation to an unphysical momentum π_a that transforms linearly under deformed Lorentz transformations [8]. In our case,

$$\pi_0 = \frac{\kappa}{2} e^{p_0/\kappa} \left(1 - e^{-2p_0/\kappa} + \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2} \right), \quad \pi_1 = e^{p_0/\kappa} p_1. \quad (6)$$

According to ref. [3], the definition of the velocity $\mathbf{v}$ compatible with its role of parameter of the Lorentz transformations is then

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} = \frac{2p_1/\kappa}{1 - e^{-2p_0/\kappa} + p_1^2/\kappa^2}. \quad (7)$$

This expression for the velocity can be obtained from the basic definition $v = \dot{q}_1/\dot{q}_0$, where $\dot{q}_a$ are the derivatives of the coordinates with respect to the proper time derived from the Hamilton equations, if one postulates the standard κ -Poincaré symplectic structure [7,2]

$$\omega_{00} = 1, \quad \omega_{01} = -\frac{p_1}{\kappa}, \quad \omega_{10} = 0, \quad \omega_{11} = -1, \quad (8)$$

with $\omega_{ab} \equiv \{q_a, p_b\}$. However, one may choose different Poisson brackets leading to the same expression for the velocity. In particular, in [6] it was shown that in order for the velocity to transform in the correct way under deformed boosts, one must impose some further conditions on the ω_{ab} . When these conditions hold, it is also possible to define a (momentum-dependent) metric invariant under deformed Lorentz transformations. In the present case, the conditions of [6] are not satisfied by (8), but rather by

$$\omega_{00} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + e^{-2p_0/\kappa} + \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2} \right), \quad \omega_{01} = -\frac{p_1}{\kappa} e^{-2p_0/\kappa}, \quad \omega_{10} = \frac{p_1}{\kappa}, \quad \omega_{11} = -e^{-2p_0/\kappa}. \quad (9)$$

Given the symplectic structure (9), the Jacobi identities imply that the coordinates obey nontrivial Poisson brackets

$$\{q_0, q_1\} = 2 \frac{p_1 q_0}{\kappa^2} - \left(1 + \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2} \right) \frac{q_1}{\kappa}, \quad (10)$$

and transform as

$$\delta q_0 = q_1 - \frac{p_1}{\kappa} q_0, \quad \delta q_1 = q_0 - \frac{p_1}{\kappa} q_1. \quad (11)$$

Moreover, the Hamilton equations arising from (4) and (8) read

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q}_0 &= \frac{\kappa e^{2p_0/\kappa}}{8} \left(1 + e^{-2p_0} - \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2}\right)^2 \left(1 - e^{-2p_0/\kappa} + \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2}\right), \\ \dot{q}_1 &= \frac{e^{2p_0/\kappa}}{4} \left(1 + e^{-2p_0} - \frac{p_1^2}{\kappa^2}\right)^2 p_1,\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

from which one can recover the velocity (7). It is also easy to see that the line element

$$d\tau^2 = \frac{4 e^{-2p_0/\kappa}}{(1 + e^{-2p_0} - p_1^2/\kappa^2)^4} (dq_0^2 - dq_1^2)\tag{13}$$

is invariant under the infinitesimal deformed Lorentz transformations (3) and (11). Contrary to other known cases [2,6], the metric (13) depends on both components of p_a , and not on the energy only. However, comparing with (5), one may write (13) in the simpler form

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{2m^2}{\kappa^2}\right)^{-2} e^{2p_0/\kappa} (dq_0^2 - dq_1^2).\tag{14}$$

The transformations (10) that, combined with (1), leave (12) invariant can also be written in finite form as

$$q'_0 = \frac{q_0 \cosh \xi + q_1 \sinh \xi}{\Gamma}, \quad q'_1 = \frac{q_1 \cosh \xi + q_0 \sinh \xi}{\Gamma}.\tag{15}$$

Hence the coordinate transformations take the form of a product of standard Lorentz transformations with a function of the momentum.

The transformations (15) imply a modification of the relativistic formula for time dilation. For example, it is easy to see that the relation between the time T measured in the laboratory and the time T_0 measured in the rest frame of a particle is given by

$$T = \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma_0} T_0,\tag{16}$$

where $\gamma = \cosh \xi = (1 - \mathbf{v}^2)^{-1/2}$ and

$$\Gamma_0 \equiv \Gamma(p_1 = 0) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-2M/\kappa}) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(1 - e^{-2M/\kappa}).\tag{17}$$

Hence T becomes a function both of the velocity and the momentum, or equivalently the mass, of the particle, giving rise to corrections of order p_0/κ to the measured lifetime of high-velocity particles with respect to the relativistic formula, which in principle may be susceptible of experimental verification. In fact, for $\gamma \gg 1$, one has in first approximation in κ^{-1} , $T \sim \gamma \left(1 - \frac{M\gamma}{\kappa}\right) T_0 \sim \gamma \left(1 - \frac{p_0}{\kappa}\right) T_0$. In this approximation, the size of the corrections is given by the ratio of the energy of the particle and the Planck energy. If one takes for κ the standard value of the Planck energy, 10^{19} GeV, this would be an extremely small

correction, not detectable experimentally even for particles of energy of order 10 GeV. However, in the context of some higher-dimensional theories, the effective four-dimensional Planck energy $\bar{\kappa}$ could be lowered up to 10^3 GeV [9], and in this case corrections could be observed.

To our knowledge, the relativistic formula for time delay has been checked for pions with $\gamma \sim 2.4$, with a confidence of 0.4% [10]. This fixes a lower limit for $\bar{\kappa}$ to 100 GeV. Improving the experimental limits could give evidence for a modification of the time delay formula, only if $\bar{\kappa}$ is not much greater than this value.

We conclude by remarking that the line element (13) may also take the role of the metric in a formulation of a κ -Poincaré extension of general relativity on the lines of the gravity rainbow formalism of ref. [11]. In the present case, the metric would depend not only on the energy, but also on the space component of the momentum (or equivalently on the mass) of the particle. It must be also pointed out that in the present framework the speed of light is independent of the energy, contrary to the case of ref. [11].

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Maurizio Melis for useful discussions and the referee for pointing out some errors in a first version of the paper.

References

- [1] For a recent review, see J. Kowalski-Glikman, [hep-th/0405273](#); G. Amelino-Camelia, [gr-qc/0412136](#).
- [2] S. Mignemi, *Phys. Rev.* **D68**, 065029 (2003).
- [3] P. Kosiński and P. Maślanka, *Phys. Rev.* **D68**, 067702 (2003).
- [4] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 190403 (2002).
- [5] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy, *Phys. Lett.* **B264**, 331 (1991); J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, *Phys. Lett.* **B293**, 344 (1992); J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg and W.J. Zakrzewski, *Ann. Phys.* **243**, 90 (1995).
- [6] S. Mignemi, [gr-qc/0403038](#).
- [7] J. Lukierski and A. Nowicki, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B33**, 2537 (2002).
- [8] S. Judes and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev.* **D68**, 045001 (2003).
- [9] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3370 (1999).
- [10] A.J. Greenberg *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **23**, 1267 (1969).
- [11] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21**, 1725 (2004).